

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/656,363	HATTORI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kallambella Vijayakumar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bruce Kramer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 April 2006

**Time:** 11:00 hrs

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amending claim-1 by having a positive step of preparation of raw aqueous solution to over come prior art and patentably distinguish the claimed method over prior art, and to correct spelling in claims 11 and 15 to condition the application for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)